



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/563,993

01/06/2006

Frank Reichenbach

10191/4439

7081

26646 7590 07/01/2008

KENYON & KENYON LLP
ONE BROADWAY
NEW YORK, NY 10004

EXAMINER

PATEL, PUNAM

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2855

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/01/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/563,993	Applicant(s) REICHENBACH ET AL.	
	Examiner PUNAM PATEL	Art Unit 2855	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Punam Patel (USPTO). (3)_____.

(2) Aaron Grunberger (Reg. No. 59,210). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 26 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 19-32.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 5,841,137.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that the restriction requirement dated 06/17/2008 is incomplete since inventions I and II comprise the same technical feature (wherein Claim 19 recites the technical feature). Examiner contends that there is lack of unity "a posteriori" and that Claim 19 is not the applicant's contribution over prior art. Applicant was notified that US 5,841,137 teaches Claim 19 in Figures 17a, 24a, and 24c. The restriction requirement is maintained.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Edward Lefkowitz/ SPE AU 2855

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required